

Summary of Survey Results

LIFE IN THE HEARTLAND

As of August 16, 2010

Events:


Fort Saskatchewan Trade Fair
Legacy Park Family Festival (Fort Saskatchewan)
Lamont Summer Sizzler Rodeo
Discovery Days in Redwater

Number of Surveys Collected: 287


Questions or Inquiries:

www.lifeintheheartland.com OR info@lifeintheheartland.com

Prior to today, have you heard of Life in the Heartland or seen the logo?




Do you like to keep up-to-date about development in Alberta's Industrial Heartland?




Please rate your level of satisfaction as to how well each of the following areas is being managed in Alberta's Industrial Heartland.

Scale of 1 – 5, with 1 = Not Satisfied, and 5 = Very Satisfied. The graph below shows the average rating for each issue.




“Don't Know” was also an option. The total sum of “Don't Know” responses for each issue is shown on the graph below.




Check up to 3 methods that you'd prefer as a way of getting information.

The graph indicates the sum total for each method.




What community do you live in?

The graph indicates the sum total for each community.



Please indicate your age range.

The graph indicates the sum total for each age range.



What ONE change or action would bring about the biggest benefit to residents in Alberta's Industrial Heartland?

Industrial Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Slow down on building upgraders - how many do we really need? • Canadian development only • Develop soon – 2 • Foster approval on upgraders • Keep heavy industry away from populated areas • Less industry - 2 • More industry – 2 • Ordered activity – one project at a time • No carbon credits; clean up each business • Industrial planning • Slow development - 2 • Plan well in advance • Less government intervention • Not to have so close to Fort (assuming this means having industry so close to the Fort)
Safety/Emergency Response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close attention to safety • Community awareness notifications • Safety
Transportation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public transportation options • Transportation system to address existing resident needs as industrial traffic increases • Traffic planning - 3 • A ring road to divert plant industrial traffic out of the Fort • Address highway 37 problem - Too much traffic, need shoulders • Anthony Henday brought into area – 2 • Traffic on roads - dangerous, especially for people who like to walk • Flights for workers • Busing to and from site (Shell Scotford) • Highway #15 safety • Industrial highway access • Less traffic • New bridge • Something to change traffic patterns/can't work on roads • Maintenance of our highways and rural roads • More paved roads • Highways • Twin hwy 15 further east
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise control
Light	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Land Use Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Doing more planning not forcing us into situations • Have a plan for the land that is sitting idle • Too much land bought out and is now sitting idle

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try to conserve some of our land for farming • Close attention to land agricultural use • Don't like how industry is affecting how the river valley is used by the community • Kids parks • More small farmers • Preserve farmland • Develop surrounding towns or move new "super city" to Lamont County • Farmland being destroyed • Freedom for residents to develop and subdivide their farms • Industry use less space • More landscape
Air	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clean air/better air quality – 11 • Cut back on CO2 emissions • Strict emission limits
Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better water recycling • Water management • Reduction of water use • Piped in water to residences in Horsehills area
Resident Relocation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People are being displaced and forced out by the almighty \$\$ • Better buy-out program - 3 • Buy everyone out • Question makes no sense, as residents are being removed from AIH • There are residents who cannot effectively manage their land but are not eligible to be bought out
General "environment"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food/water that is not chemically afflicted • Stop pollution/less pollution – 5 • More trees - 2 • Go green • Environmental concerns – 2 • We need jobs but not at cost to environment • Wind energy • Better on keeping the environment protected • Trees • Make sure Eco cleanups are always done • Pollution clean up • Pollution control
Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better communication - 4 • Give people positive info about what you do • More care for current established residents/communities • More information • More interest for landowners • Continue communicating • Too much propaganda from too many companies - needs to be more coordinated

Employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More jobs and people • Refine what we mine - keep employment here • Employment – 4 • Stable, long term work
Miscellaneous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better government - for the people not the government officials • Growth and better services ie. grocery stores • Growth of communities' infrastructure • Put the Heartland powerline underground • Healthcare • Less rural development • Residents being more open minded • I'm satisfied • Stop rebuilding so much and reuse what is already available

Additional comments

- Be great once construction occurs (20 year resident)
- Beautiful place - Keep it that way!
- Concerned about the use of water and the impact
- Don't want to give up family property
- Good for jobs
- Safety conscious to a fault on job sites, not off job sites - where the public live
- All in all we think the Heartland is great!
- Already getting all info
- Good job
- I wonder what my kids are breathing in
- Loss of access and recreational opportunities
- Nice to live in County
- People are aging here, as everywhere else, seniors who decide to stay here when they retire need more support to do so - government at all levels and industry need to prepare for this
- Should not have such a high density industrial area
- Thanks for being there with important info
- The sky is bigger here than in Ontario
- There is nothing to do in Lamont
- We are booming but infrastructure is behind
- We love this area - only have concerns about air quality
- Would like if you did not take all prime agricultural land for industrial use i.e. around river access
- High voltage powerline should go in unpopulated areas
- I didn't know it existed
- I would like to see the emergency phone fan-out extended further east
- Keep up the good work
- No to Hazco
- Wouldn't want to live any place else
- Love the NRCAER
- Very friendly people